

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/737,421	12/16/2003	Wolfgang Burkhardt	AO720B	6313	
7590 02/11/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Arthur G. Schaier			PHAN, THANH S		
Carmody & Torrance LLP P.O. Box 1110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
50 Leavenworth Street			2841		
Waterbury, CT 06721-1110			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/737,421	BURKHARDT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thanh S Phan	2841			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b>_</b> •				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		•			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☑ None of:		)-(d) or (f).			
<ul> <li>1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
application from the International Bureau		ed in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ed			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/16/03</u> .	6) Other:	( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( (			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: the functional language lacks the necessary supports from the claimed structures to provide the completeness of the claimed functionality of the claimed language. In claims 1 and 6, applicant claimed a frame; at least two physically separable printed circuit boards in conjunction with other structure, but lacks the component of how/what is needed to changed or modified "by a removal of the second circuit board…"

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 1 and 6, the last two paragraphs recited "wherein the functionality of the electronic device **can be** changed...whereby the first printed circuit board **need not be** removed..." It is unclear if the applicant intended is that the functionality of the electronic device is "changed" or unchanged, and the printed circuit board is "removed" or not removed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacNak et al. [US 4,817,196] in view of Schartz [US 5,210,722].

Regarding claims 1, 5, 6, MacNak et al. dislcose an electronic device [figure 3] that includes functionality to perform at least two functions, a first of which is at least timekeeping and the other of which is at least a function other than timekeeping. wherein the electronic device comprises a multilayered module comprising: a frame [case 20] having a frame surface; at least two physically separable printed circuit boards [62, 64], the first of which includes means related to at least the timekeeping and the second of which includes means related to at least the function other than timekeeping. wherein the first printed circuit board id intermediate the frame surface and the second printed circuit board [column 5 lines 10-37]; wherein the first and second printed circuit boardis securable within the module [figure 3].

MacNak et al. disclose a battery to provides power supply but not explicitly disclosed a compartment dimensioned for receiving the battery, wherein the battery compartment is asymmetrically positioned within the module thus creating a region above a printed circuit board and proximate the battery compartment.

Schwartz discloses an analog timepiece comprising a compartment dimensioned for receiving a battery [11], wherein the battery compartment is asymmetrically positioned within the module thus creating a region above a printed circuit board [11] and proximate the battery compartment.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the battery compartment design of Schwartz with MacNak et al. for the purpose of facilitating power to circuit arrangement within a compact space.

Regarding claims 2-4, MacNak et al. disclose that the first and second printed circuit board is housed within the case 20 except for explicitly labeled at least one support members and its arrangement [physical or circuits and/or means for electrical connection] for supporting the circuit boards.

It would have been obvious that at least one support members is arranged accordingly within the case for supporting the boards to perform chronographic functions.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Stotz [US 2004/0237319]; Stern [US 2003/0210612]; Ueno et al. [US 2003/0016315].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh S Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-2109. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/737,421

Art Unit: 2841

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tsp

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800